

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

GOTHAM CITY ORTHOPEDICS, LLC,:
individually and as assignee or attorney-in-fact:
for certain insureds, and SEAN LAGER, M.D.,:
as attorney-in-fact for certain insureds, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

UNITED HEALTHCARE INS. CO., a/k/a
UNITEDHEALTH GROUP INC., UNITED
HEALTHCARE OF NEW JERSEY, INC.,
UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVS. INC.,
UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVS., LLC,
NON-NEW JERSEY UNITED
HEALTHCARE PLANS 1-10 and JOHN
DOES 1-10, :

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:21-CV-09056
(BRM)(ESK)**

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND
JURY DEMAND**

Defendants. :

Plaintiff, Gotham City Orthopedics, individually and as assignee or attorney-in-fact for certain insureds and Sean Lager, M.D., as attorney-in-fact for certain insureds (collectively, “Gotham” or “Plaintiff”), by way of this First Amended Complaint against Defendants, United Healthcare Ins. Co., a/k/a United Health Group, Inc., United Healthcare Servs. Inc., United Healthcare Servs., LLC, Non-New Jersey United Healthcare Plans 1-10 (collectively “United” or the “United Defendants”), and John Does 1-10, alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action to stop and redress United’s systematic failure to process and make payment upon legitimate and proper claims for services rendered to participants in health plans insured and/or administered by United (the “United Plans”), who either assigned to Plaintiff their legal rights and benefits under their respective plans or executed a Power of Attorney authorizing Plaintiff to pursue on a participant’s behalf collection of claim payments. (the “United

Insureds”). Correspondence, account activity reports and claims documentation over the course of years demonstrates United’s imposition of an improper claims “procedure” that wrongfully denied and/or underpaid reimbursement of “out-of-network” benefits on claims assigned to Plaintiff by the United Insureds and/or pursued for collection by Plaintiff on behalf of United Insureds pursuant to Powers of Attorney, covering medical services rendered from 2014 to present (the “Claims”).

2. United’s review and processing of Plaintiff’s Claims is characterized, *inter alia*, by sweeping denials of the Claims by United; automatic, indiscriminate, adverse benefit determinations lacking any and/or adequate explanation of the reason or reasons for denial of Claims other than an improper finding that the claims were not medically necessary and the procedures were repetitive; failure to provide adequate notification and disclosures; improper review and processing of appeals; and adverse benefit determinations on erroneous grounds.

3. United’s failure to implement and maintain reasonable claims procedures, its failure to reimburse Plaintiff for services rendered to the United Insureds, constitute violations of federal law including the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), New Jersey State law, and the contractual, fiduciary and other obligations owed by United to its Insureds (as to which Plaintiff is the assignee). Additionally, United has failed to pay for covered medical services for certain patients whose plans are not governed by ERISA, and this failure constitutes a breach of contract and implicates other state, common law claims. For the patients listed below, Plaintiff has incurred \$3,457,586.28 in charges for which United has only paid \$577,668.78 – a **payment rate of only 20.0%**. Accordingly, Plaintiff has incurred no less than **\$2,879,917.47** in unpaid services because of United’s unsubstantiated Claim denials and/or reductions.

4. Detailed information is not set forth herein solely to protect the identity and

Protected Health Information of the patients. Plaintiff will provide a list of Claims with complete identifying information, including patient names and United identification numbers to Defendant's counsel. In addition, Plaintiff has provided the Claims detail at issue, redacted for Personal Health Information, attached as "**Exhibit A.**"

5. In short, Plaintiff seeks a judgment in its favor for the relief requested below.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Gotham City Orthopedics, LLC, is a medical practice located at 50 Mount Prospect Avenue, Suite 104, Clifton, New Jersey 07013.

7. Plaintiff, Sean Lager, M.D., is a New Jersey licensed physician and a member of Gotham City Orthopedics.

8. Defendant United is, upon information and belief, a Connecticut Corporation or Corporations, with a headquarters at 185 Asylum Street, Hartford, Connecticut, and various offices in New Jersey.

9. "United" is a brand name used for products and services provided by one or more of the United group of subsidiaries or affiliates that offer, underwrite, or administer benefits. When used in this Complaint, "United" includes all United subsidiaries or affiliates owned and controlled by any of the named Defendants.

10. Defendants, Non-New Jersey United Plans 1-10, as yet unidentified, are health insurers or similar entities, and are fictitious defendants to be identified in the course of litigation. Upon information and belief, United provided administrative services for the United Plans 1-10.

11. The individual insureds are employees or covered relatives of employees covered under their employers' health insurance plan and entitled to health benefits under plans, which are sponsored, funded and administered by United. At all relevant times, Defendants provided

healthcare coverage to and/or administrative services for the health insurance plans of the individual insureds. Defendants' health insurance plan provided health, medical and hospital coverage, including emergency room coverage, expressly and/or by operation of law.

12. Defendants John Does 1-10, yet unidentified, are individuals and/or corporations who, upon information and belief, committed, participated in, solicited others to engage in, and/or knowingly assisted, conspired with or urged others to commit the wrongful acts set forth herein. John Does 1-10 are fictitious defendants to be identified in the course of litigation.

JURISDICTION

13. United's conduct in providing, underwriting and administering employer-sponsored health benefit plans, including making determinations of reimbursements to be paid to providers of health care services to United plan participants pursuant to the terms of such plans, is governed by ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1001, *et seq.* As a result, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's ERISA claims under 29 U.S.C. § 1132 of ERISA, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which confers upon federal district courts jurisdiction over all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

14. This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's State law claims, including claims for Breach of Contract, Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, Promissory Estoppel, Unjust Enrichment and Quantum Meruit, under 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

VENUE

15. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because, among other things, United conducts a substantial amount of business in this district, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth in this Complaint arose in this district.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

A. Background

16. United is in the business of providing, underwriting and/or administering various forms of health insurance, including individual, employer-sponsored, and governmental health insurance coverage. Through these plans, United reimburses United Insureds for certain health care expenses (“Covered Services”), subject to the terms, conditions, and benefit limitations set forth under the plans.

17. United provides, underwrites and/or administers the health insurance benefits of numerous United Insureds in the State of New Jersey.

18. Upon information and belief, the United Insureds are covered by a Plan offered, underwritten, or administered by United as part of a private, employer-provided employee health and/or welfare benefit plan governed by ERISA. ERISA governs all such private employee health and welfare benefit plans, whether they are fully-insured or self-funded.

19. United provides its Insureds with access to Covered Services by utilizing, in part, a network of health care providers who have contractually-agreed to participate in the United Plans and thus render care on a fixed-fee basis. The health care providers who enter into these participation agreements or contracts with United are referred to as “Participating Providers.”

20. The United Plans that are the subject of this Complaint provide for so-called “out-of-network” benefits, under which the United Insured is entitled to insurance benefits for services rendered by health care providers that have not entered into Participating Provider agreements with United. These “Non-Participating Providers” have not agreed to accept United’s contractual fee schedule when providing Covered Services to United Insureds. Instead, Non-Participating Providers are entitled to be reimbursed at usual, customary and reasonable (“UCR”) rates, and the United Plans specifically permit Plaintiff to be paid UCR rates for the services.

21. Specifically, a review of each of the United Plans for the United Insured listed below indicates that each plan contains language authorizing the payment of UCR rates to a Non-Participating Provider like Plaintiff. Additionally, each of these plans indicates that it provides benefits for the medically necessary services, including, but not limited to surgery, provided by Plaintiff to the United Insured.

22. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was a Non-Participating Provider with United permitted by the United Plans to be reimbursed at UCR rates for the medically necessary services provided to United Insureds.

23. As such, Plaintiff has rendered health care services to United Insureds and is supposed to be paid UCR rates by United directly for providing such services through the issuance of benefits under the terms of United Plans. Each of these services was reported by Plaintiff to United for reimbursement purposes pursuant to the American Medical Association's Current Procedural Terminology ("CPT"), which is used by licensed providers in submitting health insurance benefit claims to third party payers, including insurers such as United.

24. Because the benefits payments to Plaintiff were based on United's evaluation and assessment of the terms and conditions of ERISA Plans, ERISA governs the adjudication and disposition of these benefits payments. Further, because United paid Plan benefits directly to Plaintiff as an assignee under the benefits assignments received from the United Insured, Plaintiff is deemed to be a Plan beneficiary under ERISA, with standing to assert rights and protections under this statute.

25. Alternatively, Plaintiff and its physicians are authorized agents of the United Insureds under Powers of Attorney duly executed by the United Insureds authorizing Plaintiff and its physicians to, *inter alia*, file suit on behalf of the United Insureds against United for claims

relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered by Plaintiff.

B. Assignment of Rights and Benefits of the United Insured to Plaintiff

26. As a matter of course, the United Insureds treated by Plaintiff signed an assignment of benefits form ("AOB"). This document includes an assignment through which the United Insureds directly assigns to Plaintiff his or her rights and benefits under the United Plan governing the patient's health care services rendered by Plaintiff. Further, by executing the AOB, the United Insureds authorizes the release of any necessary information to his or her insurance carrier.

27. A sample of AOB form signed by Plaintiff's patients (including the United Insureds at issue) states, in relevant part:

I irrevocably assign to you, my medical provider, all of my rights and benefits under my insurance contract for payment for services rendered to me, including but not limited to all my rights under "ERISA" which may be applicable to the medical services at issue. I specifically assign to you all of my rights and claims with regards to the employee health benefits at issue including all rights and claims (including claims for assessment of penalties and for attorneys' fees) arising under ERISA or other federal or state laws.

I authorize you to file insurance claims on my behalf for services rendered to me and this specifically includes filing arbitration/litigation in your name on my behalf against the PIP carrier/health care carrier. I irrevocably authorize you to retain an attorney of your choice on my behalf for collection of your bills. I direct that all reimbursable medical payments go directly to you, my medical provider. I authorize and consent to your acting on my behalf in this regard and in regard to my general health insurance coverage and I specifically authorize you to pursue any administrative appeals conducted pursuant to "ERISA".

28. Plaintiff obtained a valid assignment of rights and benefits conferred to the United Insureds under the United Plans for the Claims at issue in this action. Pursuant to these assignments, Plaintiff has standing to pursue claims for benefits on behalf of the United Insureds under ERISA, and under the laws of the State of New Jersey.

29. Following treatment, pursuant to the AOB, Plaintiff is entitled to payment from

United and directly submits to United claims forms for reimbursement of services rendered to the United Insureds.

30. United is obligated under the United Plans to pay in accordance with the United Insureds' right to receive reimbursement for out-of-network care.

31. At all relevant times, Plaintiff regularly submitted claims for reimbursement to United with respect to Covered Services it provided to the United Insureds.

32. Following Plaintiff's submission of claims for reimbursement to United, United corresponds, or should correspond, directly with Plaintiff regarding the status of those claims, and has remitted reimbursement for certain claims directly to Plaintiff.

33. Throughout United's entire course of conduct with respect to Claims submitted by Plaintiff as the assignee of the United Insureds, United has never claimed that it has the right to reject Claims because they were assigned to Plaintiff. As a result, to the extent United ever could have raised a defense to the Claims asserted herein based on their assignment to Plaintiff, United has waived and is estopped from raising such a defense or position, irrespective of whether any United Plan at issue contains an anti-assignment provision.

34. Accordingly, Plaintiff has standing by assignment, and independently, based on waiver and estoppel, to sue United as an ERISA beneficiary.

C. Plaintiff Dr. Lager is Authorized Under Powers of Attorney to Pursue Claims for Benefits on Behalf of the United Insureds

35. To the extent that United claims that Claims were rejected due to an anti-assignment provision in the United Plans, Plaintiff has obtained Powers of Attorney properly executed by the United Insureds authorizing its individual physicians to pursue claims and file suit on behalf of the certain United Insureds against United for payment of benefits for medical services rendered by Plaintiff to the United Insureds. Pursuant to these Power of Attorneys, Dr. Lager has standing to

bring this action on behalf of certain United Insureds under ERISA and the laws of the State of New Jersey.

36. A sample of Power of Attorney form signed by Gotham's patients (including the United Insureds at issue) specifically states "I further grant limited power of attorney to you as my medical provider to receive and collect directly money due for services rendered to me in this matter ..." and authorizes Plaintiff's attorneys "to collect payment for medical services directly against the carrier in this case, in my name, including filing an arbitration demand or lawsuit. I specifically authorize that the attorney to file directly against that carrier in my name or in your name as my medical provider rendering services to me ...". The document specifically defines Gotham and Lager (as well as other Gotham physicians) as the medical "provider."

37. Accordingly, Plaintiff Lager has standing as an authorized agent of the United Insureds to pursue the collection of claim reimbursements, on behalf of the United Insureds, for medical services rendered to the United Insureds by Plaintiff.

D. United's Failure to Lawfully Implement and Apply Reasonable Claims Procedures, Improper Denial of Plaintiff's Valid Claims and Failure to Provide Full and Fair Review of Denied Claims in Violation of ERISA and Terms of United Plans

38. With respect to those of its Plans sponsored by private employers, United is subject to ERISA, and its governing regulations.

39. Under ERISA, United cannot systematically deny coverage for services (or types of services) unless the applicable United Plan contains an express exclusion specifying that such services are not Covered Services under that Plan's terms.

40. Under ERISA, United cannot systematically underpay for services under the applicable plan and must make payments of benefits in the manner and amounts required under the terms of the applicable United Plan.

41. In offering and administering the United Plans and making payment decisions,

United functions as a “plan administrator” pursuant to ERISA. United interprets and applies the Plan terms, makes all coverage decisions, and/or provides for payment to Insureds and/or their providers. United functions as a “plan administrator” when it insures or administers a group health plan, when it is designated as a plan administrator for such a plan, or when it determines appeals and addresses grievances within the meaning of such terms under ERISA. As a plan administrator, United also assumes various obligations specified under ERISA, including providing its Insureds and their assignees with a Uniform Medical Policy (“UMP”), a document designed to describe in layperson’s language the material terms, conditions and limitations of the Plan. The full details of the plan, which are summarized in the UMP, are contained in the Evidence of Coverage that governs each United Insured’s Plan.

42. If the employer, or an entity other than United, is deemed to be the plan administrator, United remains responsible for ensuring that the UMP complies with the law under its duties as a co-fiduciary as provided in ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1105.

43. United also exercises discretionary authority and control in its administration of United Plans, over claims processing and adverse benefit determinations with respect to claims of United Insureds and their assignees, and in its interaction with United Insureds and their assignees. Therefore, United also functions as a fiduciary as defined under ERISA. Irrespective of its status as plan administrator, United is liable for breach of its obligations as a fiduciary, as provided in ERISA 29 U.S.C. § 1109, because it exercises discretionary authority and/or control.

44. United’s fiduciary functions include, *inter alia*, preparation and submission of Explanation of Benefits statements (“EOBs”); determinations regarding claims for benefits and coverage; oral and written communications with United Insureds, their assignees, and medical providers regarding coverage and claims determinations; and the processing, management, review,

decision making and disposition of appeals and grievances under United Plans.

45. Under ERISA, United is required, among other things, to comply with the terms and conditions of its Plans; to afford its Insureds, or their providers where a valid assignment of benefits exists, an opportunity to obtain a “full and fair review” of any denied or reduced reimbursements; to establish and follow reasonable claims procedures prescribed in ERISA regulations; and to make appropriate and non-misleading disclosures to Insureds, their assignees, and providers. Such disclosures include accurately setting forth Plan terms; explaining the specific reasons why a claim is denied and the internal rules and evidence underlying such determinations; disclosing the basis for its interpretation of Plan terms; and providing appropriate data and documentation concerning its coverage decisions.

46. The comprehensive ERISA regulatory scheme governs, *inter alia*, the timing and notification of benefits determinations by United; the manner and content of notification of benefits determinations; and the procedure, timing and manner of notification requirements concerning appeal of adverse benefits determinations by United.

47. With respect to post-service reimbursement claims, ERISA regulations require United to notify claimants of an “adverse benefit determination,” no later than 30 days after receipt of a claim. Under ERISA, the term “adverse benefit determination” is defined as follows:

a denial, reduction, or termination of, or a failure to provide or make payment (in whole or in part) for, a benefit, including any such denial, reduction, termination, or failure to provide or make payment that is based on a determination of a participant's or beneficiary's eligibility to participate in a plan, and including, with respect to group health plans, a denial, reduction, or termination of, or a failure to provide or make payment (in whole or in part) for, a benefit resulting from the application of any utilization review, as well as a failure to cover an item or service for which benefits are otherwise provided because it is determined to be experimental or investigational or not medically necessary or appropriate.

29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1(m)(4).

48. ERISA’s reasonable claims procedure regulations further require United, *inter alia*,

to set forth the following information in an understandable manner in all adverse benefit determinations to claimants: (a) the specific reason or reasons for the determination; (b) reference to the specific plan provisions on which the determination is based; (c) a description of any additional material or information necessary to perfect the claim and an explanation of why such information is necessary; (d) a description of the plan's review procedures and the applicable time limits, including a statement advising of the right to bring a civil action under ERISA; (e) a statement regarding any internal rule, guideline, protocol, or other similar criteria relied upon in making the determination; and (f) a statement regarding the scientific or clinical judgment underlying a determination based on a medical necessity, experimental treatment or similar exclusion or limit. 29 C.F.R. §2560.503-1(g).

49. United indiscriminately denied payment and substantially underpaid for claims of the United Insureds without valid excuse or justification in violation of ERISA for each of the Insureds listed below.

50. United made such claims determinations without valid evidence or information to substantiate such determinations and/or in an arbitrary fashion and did not provide a "full and fair review" of the denied or reduced reimbursement.

51. Plaintiff duly submitted appeals to United of the denial and underpayment of the Claims. United denied the appeals, and United's treatment of Plaintiff's adverse benefits determinations were contrary to ERISA, applicable regulation and terms of applicable United Plans.

52. In summary, United engaged in a wrongful and systematic denial of Claims submitted by Plaintiff seeking payment for medically necessary and Covered Services rendered to the United Insureds.

E. United's Improperly Denied Plaintiff's Valid Claims For Authorized Medical Services Provided to Certain United Insureds Whose Plans Are Not Governed by ERISA

53. Additionally, United failed to implement and maintain reasonable claims procedures in relations to five (5) patients whose United Plans are not governed by ERISA. Specifically, in regards to the following patients – M.C., S.S, C.R., D.M., and M.W. – Plaintiff contacted United previous to providing necessary medical services, confirmed out-of-network benefits for these United Insureds, obtain pre-authorization, pre-certification and/or pre-approval of the services to be provided.

54. United agreed to pay out-of-network benefits on behalf of these insureds, whose plans allowed for payment of UCR rates to Plaintiff for the services provided.

55. United did not pay out-of-network benefits to Plaintiff at UCR rates, but instead significantly underpaid the claims presented on behalf of these United Insureds.

F. Defendants Have Substantially Underpaid Plaintiff for Treatment Provided to the United Insureds Under Both ERISA and Non-ERISA Plans

56. United has wrongfully and substantially underpaid for claims without excuse or justification in violation of ERISA.

United Insured #1: H.M., Date of Service: 8/23/14

57. On August 23, 2014, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured H.M. at Beth Israel Medical Center.

58. H.M. was diagnosed with a left clavicle fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the left clavicle. On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff removed a deep implant from the left clavicle.

59. H.M. was insured under her UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus: Value Plan for New York University through his employer, New York University.

60. H.M.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "available data resources of competitive fees in the geographic area." See H.M.'s Plan at p. 29, 90. In other words, H.M.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

61. H.M. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

62. H.M. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on H.M.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to H.M. by Plaintiff.

63. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$24,000.00.

64. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$15,000.00.

65. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

66. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #2: M.C., Date of Service: 9/29/14

67. On September 29, 2014, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured M.C. at Hoboken University Medical Center.

68. M.C. was diagnosed with a left displaced distal radius fracture, and Plaintiff

performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the left displaced distal radius fracture.

69. M.C. was insured under her United Civil Service Employee Association Empire Plan through her employer, the State of New York Workers Compensation Board.

70. M.C.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for "Covered Medical Expenses" including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at certain percentages. "Covered Medical Expenses" are subjected to the Medical/Surgical Program's reimbursement policy guidelines, which are based upon: (1) Current Procedural Terminology; (2) as reported by generally accepted professionals or publications; (3) as used by Medicare or as determined by medical staff and outside consultants. See M.C.'s Plan at p. 43. In other words, H.M.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

71. M.C. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

72. M.C. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on M.C.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to M.C. by Plaintiff.

73. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$47,500.00.

74. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$1,765.04.

75. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's

insurance plan.

76. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #3: S.B., Date of Service: 10/22/14

77. On October 22, 2014, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured S.B. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

78. S.B. was diagnosed with a left anterior cruciate ligament tear, and Plaintiff performed a left anterior cruciate reconstruction with autograft, knee arthroscopy, medial meniscus repair, medial femoral condyle microfracture and partial lateral meniscectomy.

79. S.B. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus Plan through his employer, Schrodinger, Inc.

80. S.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See S.B.'s Plan at p. 28, 72. In other words, S.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

81. S.B. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

82. S.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on S.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments,

exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to S.B. by Plaintiff.

83. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$229,000.00.

84. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$21,359.95.

85. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

86. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #4: R.G., Date of Service: 12/23/14

87. On December 23,2014, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured R.G. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

88. R.G. was diagnosed with a right displaced 5th metacarpal neck fracture, and Plaintiff performed a closed reduction and cross pinning of the right displaced 5th metacarpal neck fracture.

89. R.G. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus for the Plan 1G6 through his employer, Insperity Holdings, Inc.

90. R.G.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "110% of published rates allowed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the same or similar service within the geographic market" See R.G.'s Plan at p. 20, 26 In other words, R.G.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

91. R.G. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

92. R.G. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on R.G.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to R.G. by Plaintiff.

93. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$41,000.00.

94. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$10,895.70.

95. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

96. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #5: R.D., Date of Service: 12/27/14 and 4/25/17

97. On December 27, 2014, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured R.D. at Bayonne Medical Center. On April 25, 2017, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured R.D. at Manhattan Surgery Center.

98. On December 17, 2014, R.D. was diagnosed with a right tibia and fibular fracture, and Plaintiff performed a closed reduction and intramedullary nailing of the right tibia fracture. On April 25, 2017, R.D. was experiencing pain with the hardware placed in 2014, and Plaintiff performed a removal of the lower distal third of the tibia hardware including two interference screws and injection of the right knee infrapatellar fact pad with corticosteroid.

99. R.D. was insured under her MetLife Options and Choices Plan.

100. R.D.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Allowed Amounts" which are based upon "a percentage of the rates allowed by Medicare for the same or similar service [or] the amount specified in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Physician's Fee Schedule." See R.D.'s Plan at p. 91. In other words, R.D.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

101. R.D. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

102. R.D. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on R.D.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to R.D. by Plaintiff.

103. For the December 17, 2014 service, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$36,000.00.

104. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$18,000.00.

105. For the April 25, 2017 services, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$41,000.00.

106. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$3,000.00.

107. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claims to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

108. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayments.

United Insured #6: C.C., Date of Service: 1/19/15

109. On January 19, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured C.C. at Hoboken University Medical Center.

110. C.C. was diagnosed with a right humeral diaphysis fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the right humeral diaphysis fracture with plain screws.

111. C.C. was insured under his United CBS Medical Plan.

112. C.C.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" and the "Out-of-network reimbursement is based on the Plan's reasonable and customary ("R&C") charge for the service..." See C.C.'s Plan at p.21. In other words, C.C.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

113. C.C. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

114. C.C. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on C.C.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to C.C. by Plaintiff.

115. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United

Insured in the amount of \$83,000.00.

116. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$38,838.25.

117. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

118. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #7: H.M., Date of Service: 4/30/15

119. On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured H.M. at Palisade Medical Center.

120. Plaintiff removed a deep implant from the left clavicle.

121. H.M. was insured under her United New York University Choice Value Plus Plan through his employer, New York University.

122. H.M.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to the surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "available data resources of competitive fees in the geographic area." See H.M. Plan at p. 9, 21. In other words, H.M.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

123. H.M. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

124. H.M. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on H.M.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to

reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to H.M. by Plaintiff.

125. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$21,000.00.

126. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$289.50.

127. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

128. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #8: E.F., Date of Service: 5/7/15

129. On May 7, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured E.F. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

130. E.F. was diagnosed with a recurrent left anterior cruciate ligament rupture, and Plaintiff performed a revision of the left anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with tibialis anterior allograft.

131. E.F. was insured under her United The Interpublic Group of Companies Inc. Choice Plus Plan (Option 1) through her employer, Huge, Inc.

132. E.F.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "available data resources of competitive fees in the geographic area." See E.F.'s Plan at p. 10, 26. In other words, E.F.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

133. E.F. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue

administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

134. E.F. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on E.F.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to E.F. by Plaintiff.

135. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$112,000.00.

136. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$22,797.47.

137. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

138. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #9: K.J., Date of Service: 5/11/15

139. On May 11, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured K.J. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

140. K.J. was diagnosed with left knee chondromalacia, and Plaintiff performed a left knee arthroscopic chondroplasty of the medial femoral condyle, chondroplasty of the patellofemoral compartment and synovectomy of the patellofemoral compartment.

141. K.J. was insured under her United Choice Plus/PPO Plan through her employer, Standard Charter.

142. K.J.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "110% of published rates allowed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for

the same or similar service within the geographic market" See K.J.'s Plan at p. 9-10, 23. In other words, K.J.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

143. K.J. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

144. K.J. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on K.J.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to K.J. by Plaintiff.

145. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$121,000.00.

146. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$30,000.00.

147. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

148. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #10: A.A., Date of Service: 6/3/15

149. On June 3, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured A.A. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

150. A.A. was diagnosed with a left lateral condyle fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the left humerus lateral condyle fracture.

151. A.A. was insured under his United MultiPlan Inc. Choice Plus Plan.

152. A.A.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are determined based upon "available date resources for competitive fees in that geographic area." See A.A.'s Plan at p. 9-10, 23. In other words, A.A.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

153. A.A. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

154. A.A. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on A.A.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to A.A. by Plaintiff.

155. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$56,500.00.

156. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$14,722.25.

157. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

158. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #11: J.B., Date of Service: 6/17/15

159. On June 17, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured J.B. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

160. J.B. was diagnosed with a right knee lateral meniscus tear and Plaintiff performed

a right knee arthroscopic partial lateral meniscectomy, chondroplasty of the lateral tibial plateau, chondroplasty of the medial femoral condyle and synovectomy in the medial and lateral patellofemoral compartments.

161. J.B. was insured under her United L’Oreal USA, Inc. Comprehensive Health Plan through her employer, L’Oreal USA.

162. J.B.’s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, which is based upon “Reasonable and Customary Charges”, which is based upon “the amount that is customarily charged by most Health Care Providers in the area...” See J.B.’s Plan at p. 98. In other words, J. B.’s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

163. J.B. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

164. J.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on J.B.’s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to J.B. by Plaintiff.

165. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$177,000.00.

166. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$28,945.21.

167. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured’s

insurance plan.

168. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #12: E.B., Date of Service: 7/8/15

169. On July 8, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured E.B. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

170. E.B. was diagnosed with a right elbow dislocation, right comminuted segmental ulnar fracture, communicated right radial head and neck fracture and right coronoid fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the right olecranon, an open reduction and internal fixation of the right coronoid fracture, right radial head arthroplasty, repair of lateral ulnar collateral ligament of the right elbow and removal of internal fixation from the right arm.

171. E.B. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Plus for the Plan X01 through his employer, The Korean Mission to the United Nations

172. E.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are based upon "110% of published rates allowed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the same or similar service within the geographic market" See E.B.'s Plan at p. 21, 23. In other words, E.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

173. E.B. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

174. E.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power

of Attorney, in order to, on E.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to E.B. by Plaintiff.

175. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$188,000.00.

176. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$0.00.

177. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

178. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #13: R.C., Date of Service: 7/23/15

179. On July 23, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured R.C. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

180. R.C. was diagnosed with a left cubital tunnel syndrome, and Plaintiff performed an endoscopic left cubital tunnel release.

181. R.C. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus for the Plan XZN (Enhanced Plan) of Ambrose Employer Group through his employer, Luxor Capital.

182. R.C.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage "Eligible Expenses" drawn "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See R.C.'s Plan at p. 20, 72. In

other words, R.C.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

183. R.C. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

184. R.C. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on R.C.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to R.C. by Plaintiff.

185. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$71,000.00.

186. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$12,389.00.

187. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

188. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #14: B.N., Date of Service: 7/27/15

189. On July 27, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured B.N. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

190. B.N. was diagnosed with a left anterior cruciate ligament tear and medial and lateral meniscal tears, and Plaintiff performed a left anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft, medial meniscal repair and partial lateral meniscectomy.

191. B.N. was insured under his United JPMorgan Chase Medical Plan through his

employer, JP Morgan Chase Bank.

192. B.N.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Covered Expenses" and the "[b]enefit for out of network care [is] limited to reasonable & customary (R&C) charges." See B.N.'s Plan at p. 13, 83-84. In other words, B.N.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

193. B.N. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

194. B.N. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on B.N.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to B.N. by Plaintiff.

195. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$199,000.00.

196. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$34,960.87.

197. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

198. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #15: J.G., Date of Service: 8/12/15

199. On August 12, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured J.G. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

200. J.G. was diagnosed with a right third metacarpal fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the right third metacarpal.

201. J.G. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Health Fund Option Plan through his employer, Viacom.

202. J.G.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at the allowable amount, which is "the reasonable and customary (R&C) charge for a covered service or supply determined by UnitedHealthcare in its discretion." See J.G.'s Plan at p. 9. In other words, J.G.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

203. J.G. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

204. J.G. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on J.G.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to J.G. by Plaintiff.

205. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$41,000.00.

206. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$3,435.95.

207. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

208. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #16: S.B., Date of Service: 8/14/15

209. On August 14, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured S.B. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

210. S.B. was diagnosed with a right displaced trimalleolar ankle fracture and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of the comminuted intraarticular right Pilon fracture with use of a femoral distractor and open reduction and internal fixation of the medial malleolus through a separate incision.

211. S.B. was insured under her United Millwork Holding Inc. Bronze Plan.

212. S.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See S.B.'s Plan at p. 24, 107. In other words, S.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

213. S.B. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

214. S.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on S.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to

reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to S.B. by Plaintiff.

215. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$108,500.00.

216. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$6,047.60.

217. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

218. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #17: M.P., Date of Service: 10/29/15

219. On October 29, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured M.P. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

220. M.P. was diagnosed with a left knee intraarticular loose body and medial meniscus tear, and Plaintiff performed a left knee arthroscopy medical meniscectomy and removal of large intraarticular loose bodies, debridement of synovial plica and debridement of incompetent anterior cruciate ligament.

221. M.P. was insured under his United Mallinckrodt Choice Plus Plan

222. M.P.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses." See M.P.'s Plan at p. 22. In other words, M.P.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

223. M.P. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

224. M.P. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on M.P.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to M.P. by Plaintiff.

225. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$99,000.00.

226. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$8,456.00.

227. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

228. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #18: S.S., Date of Service: 10/29/15

229. On October 29, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured S.S. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

230. S.S. was diagnosed with a left extensor pollicis longus tendon rupture, and Plaintiff performed a tendon transfer in left extensor indicis proprius to left extensor pollicis longus.

231. S.S. was insured under his United New York State Retirees Empire Plan through his school, Molloy College

232. S.S.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for "Covered Medical Expenses" including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at certain percentages. "Covered Medical Expenses" are subjected to the Medical/Surgical Program's reimbursement policy guidelines, which are based upon: (1) Current Procedural Terminology; (2) as reported by generally accepted professionals or publications; (3) as used by Medicare or as determined by medical staff and

outside consultants. See S.S.'s Plan at p. 43. In other words, S.S.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

233. S.S. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

234. S.S. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on S.S.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to S.S. by Plaintiff.

235. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$67,500.00.

236. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$7,674.14.

237. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

238. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured # 19: H.B., Date of Service: 12/2/15

239. On December 2, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured H.B. at Patient Care Associates, LLC.

240. H.B. was diagnosed with semi-chronic right Achilles tendon rupture, and Plaintiff performed an Achilles tendon rupture repair with use of Graft Jacket human dermal allograft.

241. H.B. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice PlusPlan 1G6 through his

employer, AMC Networks.

242. H.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See H.B.'s Plan at p. 20, 72. In other words, H.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

243. H.B. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

244. H.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on H.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to H.B. by Plaintiff.

245. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$16,500.00.

246. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$382.58.

247. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

248. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #20: L.P., Date of Service: 12/11/15

249. On December 11, 2015, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured L.P. at St. Barnabas Medical Center.

250. L.P. was diagnosed with symptomatic right ankle hard following bimalleolar fracture dislocation a year earlier, and Plaintiff performed a removal of medial and lateral plate and screws.

251. L.P. was insured under her United Santander Holdings USA, Inc. Medical Plan through her employer, Santander Bank.

252. L.P.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See L.P.'s Plan at p. 20, 104. In other words, L.P.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

253. L.P. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

254. L.P. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on L.P.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to L.P. by Plaintiff.

255. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$68,500.00.

256. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$3,239.50.

257. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

258. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #21: J.B., Date of Service: 2/3/16

259. On February 3, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured J.B. at St. Barnabas Medical Center.

260. J.B. was diagnosed with right anterior cruciate ligament tear and possible lateral meniscus tear, and Plaintiff performed a right knee arthroscopically assisted anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with tibialis anterior allograft.

261. J.B. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Medical PPO Plan through his employer, Goldman Sachs.

262. J.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See J.B.'s Plan at p. 20, 104. In other words, L.P.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff. See J.B.'s Plan

at p. 4, 4672. In other words, J.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

263. J.B. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

264. J.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on J.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to J.B. by Plaintiff.

265. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$100,000.00.

266. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$32,768.41.

267. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

268. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #22: T.B., Date of Service: 3/10/16

269. On March 10, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured T.B. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

270. T.B. was diagnosed with extraarticular left distal radius fracture, and Plaintiff performed an osteotomy and correction of malunion of left distal radius fracture with open reduction and internal fixation implants.

271. T.B. was insured under her United New York University Choice Plus Value Plan

through her employer, New York University.

272. T.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See T.B.'s Plan at p. 21, 106. In other words, T.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

273. T.B. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

274. T.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on T.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to T.B. by Plaintiff.

275. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$102,500.00.

276. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$17,235.00.

277. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

278. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #23: T.D., Date of Service: 3/11/16

279. On March 11, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured T.D. at St. Barnabas Medical Center. On May 12, 2017, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured T.D. at St. Barnabas Medical Center. On March 11, 2016, T.D. was diagnosed with end stage left hip osteoarthritis, and Plaintiff performed a left hip total replacement through anterior minimally invasive approach. On May 12, 2017, T.D. was diagnosed with end stage right hip osteoarthritis, and Plaintiff performed a right hip total replacement through the direct anterior approach.

280. T.D. was insured under his United The Bank of New York Mellon Corporate HSA Plan through his employer, Bank of New York.

281. T.D.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are determined based upon "available date resources for competitive fees in that geographic area." See T.D.'s Plan at p. 11, 26. In other words, A.A.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

282. T.D. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

283. T.D. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on T.D.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to T.D. by Plaintiff.

284. For the March 11, 2016 services, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical

services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$180,000.00.

285. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$24,119.71.

286. For the May 12, 2017 services, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$180,000.00.

287. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$36,702.72.

288. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claims to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

289. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayments.

United Insured #24: M.W., Date of Service: 4/22/16

290. On April 22, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured M.W. at Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care.

291. M.W. was diagnosed with left knee medial meniscus tear, and Plaintiff performed a left knee arthroscopic partial medial and lateral meniscectomies, chondroplasty in all three compartments and synovectomy of patellofemoral compartment.

292. M.W. was insured under her United Federal Reserve Retiree Plan.

293. M.W.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, a percentage of allowable charges which is based upon a percentage of the reasonable & customary (R&C) charges as determined by the claims administrator. are determined based upon "available date resources for competitive fees in that geographic area." See M.W.'s Plan at p. 19, 23. In other words, M.W.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like

that performed by Plaintiff.

294. M.W. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

295. M.W. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on M.W.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to M.W. by Plaintiff.

296. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$141,000.00.

297. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$18,674.00.

298. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

299. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #25: C.R., Date of Service: 5/17/16

300. On May 17, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured C.R. at Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care.

301. C.R. was diagnosed with left knee loose body and osteochondritis lesion of the medial femoral condyle, and Plaintiff performed a left knee arthroscopy, removal of loosed body through a separate incision and chondroplasty of medial femoral condyle.

302. C.R. was insured under her United The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey Self-Insured Medical Plan through her employer, Port Authority of NY & NJ.

303. C.R.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are determined based upon "available date resources for competitive fees in that geographic area." See C.R.'s Plan at p. 10. In other words, C.R.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

304. C.R. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

305. C.R. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on C.R.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to C.R. by Plaintiff.

306. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$89,000.00.

307. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$21,381.38.

308. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

309. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #26: D.M., Date of Service: 5/19/16

310. On May 19, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured D.M. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

311. D.M. was diagnosed with right medial meniscus tear, and Plaintiff performed a

right knee arthroscopic partial medial and lateral meniscectomies, limited debridement of synovium and chondroplasty of the patellofemoral compartment.

312. D.M. was insured under his United The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey Self-Insured Medical Plan through his employer, Port Authority of NY & NJ.

313. D.M.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are determined based upon "available date resources for competitive fees in that geographic area." See D.M.'s Plan at p. 10. In other words, D.M.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

314. D.M. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

315. D.M. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on D.M.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to D.M. by Plaintiff.

316. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$141,000.00.

317. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$25,400.00.

318. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

319. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #27: N.V., Date of Service: 6/24/16

320. On June 24, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured N.V. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

321. N.V. was diagnosed with a right third metacarpal neck fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of right third metacarpal neck fracture.

322. N.V. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus for Eagle Enterprises LLC Plan through his employer, Eagle Enterprises.

323. N.V.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See N.V.'s Plan at p. 29, 68. In other words, N.V.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

324. N.V. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

325. N.V. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on N.V.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to N.V. by Plaintiff.

326. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United

Insured in the amount of \$40,000.00.

327. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$312.15.

328. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

329. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #28: J.M., Date of Service: 7/8/16

330. On July 8, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured J.M. at Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care.

331. J.M. was diagnosed with right shoulder impingement and acromioclavicular joint arthrosis, and Plaintiff performed a right shoulder arthroscopic subacromial decompression, distal clavicle excision and debridement of the labrum.

332. J.M. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Choice Plus for Nash Distributions, Inc. Plan through his employer, Nash Distributions.

333. J.M.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" drawn from "reimbursement policy guidelines" which are based upon "the most recent edition of Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) ...and/or the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)[;]" As reported by generally recognized professionals or publications[;]" As used for Medicare as determined by medical staff and outside medical consultants. See J.M.'s Plan at p. 24, 64. In other words, J.M.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

334. J.M. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit

insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

335. J.M. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on J.M.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to J.M. by Plaintiff.

336. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$125,313.90.

337. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$25,065.60.

338. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

339. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #29: A.B., Date of Service: 7/12/16

340. On July 12, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured A.B. at Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center.

341. A.B. was diagnosed with a right calcaneus fracture, and Plaintiff performed an open reduction and internal fixation of right calcaneus fracture.

342. A.B. was insured under his United The New York Times Company Choice Plus PPO Plan through his employer, The New York Times Company.

343. A.B.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are "determined based upon competitive fees in the geographic area." See A.B.'s Plan at p. 20, 109.

In other words, A.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

344. A.B. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

345. A.B. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on A.B.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to A.B. by Plaintiff.

346. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$40,000.00.

347. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$3,552.27.

348. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

349. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #30: K.R., Date of Service: 7/26/16

350. On July 26, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured K.R. at Manhattan Surgery Center.

351. K.R. was diagnosed with right knee medial meniscus tear and medial femoral condyle chondral defect plus medial compartment osteoarthritis and chondromalacia patella and trochlea, and Plaintiff performed a right knee arthroscopic partial medial meniscectomy chondroplasty of medial femoral condyle, patella and trochlea.

352. K.R. was insured under his United NYU Langone Medical Center Medical and Prescription Choice Plus Basic Plan.

353. K.R.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Allowable Amounts", which "is calculated based on '110% of the Medicare Rate' for that service." See K.R.'s Plan at p. 31. In other words, K.R.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

354. K.R. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

355. K.R. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on K.R.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to K.R. by Plaintiff.

356. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$44,000.00.

357. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$0.00.

358. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

359. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

United Insured #31: D.S., Date of Service: 8/19/16

360. On August 19, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured D.S. at

St. Barnabas Medical Center. On November 18, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured D.S. at Hackensack University Medical Center.

361. On August 19, 2016, D.S. was diagnosed with end stage left hip osteoarthritis, and Plaintiff performed a left anterior total hip replacement. On November 18, 2016, D.S. was diagnosed with right hip osteoarthritis, and Plaintiff performed a right hip total replacement through the direct anterior approach.

362. D.S. was insured under her United Larsen & Toubro Limited Choice Plus Plan through her husband's employer, AXA Advisors.

363. D.S.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Eligible Expenses" which are "determined based on available data resources of competitive fees in the geographic area." See D.S.'s Plan at p. 9, 20, 72. In other words, D.S.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

364. D.S. assigned her insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

365. D.S. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as her agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on D.S.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against her health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to D.S. by Plaintiff.

366. For the August 19, 2016 service, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$133,000.00.

367. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$24,596.40.

368. For the November 18, 2016 service, Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$192,000.00.

369. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$17,591.50.

370. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claims to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

371. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayments.

United Insured #32: I.K., Date of Service: 9/26/16

372. On September 26, 2016, Plaintiff rendered surgical services to United Insured I.K. at Hackensack University Medical Center.

373. I.K. was previously diagnosed with a trimalleolar fracture dislocation of the left ankle and an external fixator was applied, and Plaintiff performed removal of the left ankle external fixator and open reduction and internal fixation of the bimalleolar ankle fracture.

374. I.K. was insured under his UnitedHealthcare Options PPO Plan through his wife's employer, Deloitte LLP.

375. I.K.'s Plan paid out-of-network benefits for covered services, including, but not limited to surgical services provided, at a percentage of "Covered Expenses" which are based on "selected data resources which represent ...competitive fees in that geographic area." See I.K.'s Plan at p. 11, 89. In other words, H.B.'s Plan pays UCR rates to Non-Participating Providers like Plaintiff for medically necessary services, including surgery like that performed by Plaintiff.

376. I.K. assigned his insurance benefits to Plaintiff, including the right to submit

insurance claims, receive claim reimbursement checks directly from the insurance plan, and pursue administrative and judicial appeal of claims.

377. I.K. also appointed Plaintiff to serve as his agent, pursuant to an executed Power of Attorney, in order to, on I.K.'s behalf, submit insurance claims for reimbursement payments, exercise appeals of claims, and file suit against his health insurance carrier for claims relating to reimbursement payments for medical services rendered to I.K. by Plaintiff.

378. Plaintiff submitted a claim to United for surgical services provided to the United Insured in the amount of \$101,772.38.

379. United drastically underpaid the claim for the surgical services, allowing reimbursement in the amount of \$37,610.43.

380. Plaintiff appealed the underpaid claim to United pursuant to the United Insured's insurance plan.

381. United rejected the appeal and improperly upheld the underpayment.

G. United's Failure to Provide Plan Documentation

382. United maintained any authority or control over the management of the assets of the United Plans at issue, managed the United Plans plan in general, and maintained responsibility over the administration of the United Plans such that it functions as a "Plan Administrator" in each of the claims noted above.

383. As a "functional" plan administrator of the United Plans, United is obligated under ERISA to provide controlling plan documentation upon request of plan participants, beneficiaries, and their assignees. Specifically, ERISA provides that:

The administrator shall, upon written request of any participant or beneficiary, furnish a copy of the latest updated summary, plan description, and the latest annual report, any terminal report, the bargaining agreement, trust agreement, contract, **or other instruments under which the plan is established or operated.**

29 U.S.C. § 1024(b)(4) (emphasis added).

384. Further, ERISA regulations governing full and fair review of adverse benefit determinations require that “a claimant shall be provided, upon request and free of charge, reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claimant's claim for benefits.” 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1(h)(2)(iii).

385. ERISA imposes upon an administrator a monetary penalty of up to \$110 per day for each instance of non-compliance with a request for plan information, which is payable to Plaintiff as beneficiaries. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1); 29 C.F.R. 2575.502c-1.

386. On July 10, 2020, Plaintiff – in its role as a beneficiary or assignee of the United Insureds – requested that United provide (1) applicable insurance policy language which justifies claim reductions on United part; (2) Plan claims procedures; and (3) documentation of the methods upon which United’s payment allowances were made. To date, Plaintiffs have not received the requested documentation from United.

387. As a result of its failure to provide Plaintiffs with the requested documentation, United is in violation of ERISA.

H. Plaintiff's Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

388. ERISA, at 29 U.S.C. § 1133, mandates that a claimant (such as Plaintiff) that appeals the denial of claims must be given a “reasonable opportunity …for a full and fair review” of the decision denying the claim. In addition, the regulations at 29 C.F.R. §2560. 503-1 set forth certain requirements of the claim appeal process that are necessary to achieve a full and fair review. The requirements include, without limitation, sufficient time for the claimant to submit an appeal; deadlines for responding to claim appeals; the necessity of having different individuals involved in the claim appeal than the ones who rendered the initial decision; mandatory disclosure of all documentation relevant to the claim decision; specific information that must be included in the

claim determination and appeal determination letters; and, where the adverse determination was based in whole or in part on a medical judgment including a determination whether an item was medically necessary or appropriate, the requirement that the appropriate named fiduciary shall consult with a health care professional who has appropriate training and experience in the field of medicine involved in the medical judgment.

389. Plaintiff properly appealed the Claims, exhausting its administrative remedies, to the extent required. Plaintiff submitted at least the required number of appeals for each of the Claims at issue, providing medical documentation to United supporting the need for the treatment at issue.

390. Moreover, where a claims procedure falls short of the minimum standards required under ERISA and its regulations, the claimant is deemed to have exhausted administrative remedies under the plan and is entitled to pursue any available remedies under ERISA Section 502(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a), or under State law, as applicable. 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1; 29 C.F.R. § 2590.715-2719. That is the case here.

391. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies with respect to the Claims at issue, either through actual completion of the internal United appeals process and/or by virtue of United's failure to achieve minimal standards required under ERISA and its regulations.

392. Specifically, without limitation, United issued blanket denials that the services rendered were not compensable and not covered under the Insured's plan, which were inaccurate, and despite the submission of treatment records demonstrating the need for the services. United maintained these denials without a substantive or full and fair review of Plaintiff's appeals.

393. United's repeated and blanket denials of appeals for perfunctory and inaccurate reasons demonstrates that the purported appeals mechanism is a sham and a pretext for attempting

to suggest that a legitimate appeals process exists, when it does not.

394. As described above, any appeals required to be exhausted by Plaintiff must be deemed exhausted by reason of futility, and/or due to United's denial of meaningful access to administrative remedies under the United Plans at issue in this action.

I. United's Breach of Duty to Insureds

395. United's conduct also constitutes a violation of its obligations to the United Insured and to Plaintiff, by assignment. Specifically, the United Insured and/or his employer typically pay a higher premium to enable the United Insured to receive the benefit of out-of-network coverage. United's conduct in improperly denying payment on Claims and improperly processing appeals effectively denied the United Insured the out-of-network benefits to which he is entitled.

396. No valid or debatable reason exists for United's conduct with respect to the Claims in issue. In each instance, United failed to individually evaluate Plaintiff's Claims through any legitimate means and indiscriminately denied reimbursement for services rendered.

397. United's unsubstantiated and bad faith denials of Plaintiff's Claims also violate the common law, further entitling Plaintiff to relief as detailed below.

J. Plaintiff Has Suffered Substantial Damages

398. As a result of United's systematic failure to appropriately process and pay out-of-network claims in compliance with ERISA requirements and/or the terms of the United Plan, and its improper and unlawful processing of appeals, Plaintiff has incurred very substantial damages, and exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

K. Plaintiff Has Standing to Bring the Claims

399. As described above, Plaintiff is the assignee of the rights and benefits held by the United Insured in health care plans administered by United. United Insureds who receive treatment from Plaintiff assign all of their rights and benefits with respect to such services to Plaintiff. Such

assignments confer on Plaintiff the right to maintain legal actions on the insured's behalf.

400. United has acknowledged that Plaintiff has the right to file claims for benefits pursuant to such assignments, by submitting payment for out-of-network services directly to Plaintiff for certain claims assigned to Plaintiff by the United Insured, and due to the interaction and communication between United and Plaintiff with respect to processing of the underlying Claims.

401. Accordingly, United has waived and/or is estopped from asserting any rights to enforce anti-assignment provisions, if any, in the plans.

402. In addition, Plaintiff has standing to bring this action as a "beneficiary" under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a). ERISA defines "beneficiary" as a "person designated by a participant . . . who is or may become entitled to a benefit" under an ERISA regulated plan. ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(8).

403. When the United Insured assigned his rights and benefits to Plaintiff in connection with the services rendered to them by Plaintiff, those United Insureds assigned all of their rights and benefits, including (1) the right to file a claim and receive payment, and (2) the legal right to file suit to obtain full payment.

COUNT I

CLAIM FOR BENEFITS DUE UNDER ERISA § 502(a)(1)(B)

404. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

405. Count I is brought under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(B) and 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3).

406. Plaintiff received valid assignments of all rights and benefits held by the United Insured pursuant to ERISA plans administered by United as set forth herein. Such assignments include all of the United Insured's rights and benefits with respect to out-of-network treatments

provided by Plaintiff.

407. The execution of such assignments confers upon Plaintiff beneficiary status under ERISA § 502(a).

408. Through its course of dealings with Plaintiff as set forth above, United waived any right to enforce any anti-assignment provisions which may exist in the United Plan at issue.

409. As a beneficiary under ERISA § 502(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover benefits due to the United Insured pursuant to the terms of the United Plans that govern the obligations of United to the United Insured.

410. United functioned at all relevant times as the “plan administrator” for the United Plans within the meaning of that term under ERISA for the United Plans and continues to function in that capacity. United functions as a “plan administrator” when it insures or administers a group health plan, when it is designated as a plan administrator for such a plan, or when it determines appeals and addresses grievances within the meaning of such terms under ERISA.

411. United exercises discretionary authority and control in its administration of the United Plans, and through interactions with United Insureds and Plaintiff in the manner described herein. Therefore, United also functions as a “fiduciary” within the meaning of that term under ERISA.

412. United violated its legal obligations as a plan administrator and/or fiduciary under ERISA and federal common law each time it failed to make payment, made only partial payment, or delayed payment of benefits, without complying with ERISA requirements governing the claims process and adverse benefit determinations.

413. United violated its legal obligations under ERISA and federal common law each time it failed to make payment, made only partial payment, or delayed payment of benefits, without

complying with the terms of the United Plans that govern the obligations owed by United to the United Insured and to Plaintiff as his assignee.

414. United's lack of disclosure to the United Insured and to Plaintiff relating to adverse benefit determinations, as required under ERISA, violated its legal obligations.

415. Plaintiff properly appealed the Claims at issue to the extent any such appeals were required. The appeals were improperly denied.

416. Moreover, all appeals should be deemed exhausted or excused by virtue of United's numerous procedural and substantive violations described herein, which deprived Plaintiff of meaningful access to administrative remedies.

417. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff seeks payment of unpaid benefits on the Claims and interest from United back to the dates when the Claims were originally submitted to United. Further, United should be forbidden to engage in the wrongful conduct with respect to processing of the United Insured's Claims described herein.

418. Additionally, United should be ordered to disgorge the profits or fees it has earned by denying and/or delaying payment of Plaintiff's Claims through conduct in violation of ERISA.

419. Plaintiff further requests attorneys' fees, costs, prejudgment interest and other appropriate relief against United.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES OF LOYALTY AND CARE

420. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

421. Count II is brought under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1104, and 29 U.S.C. § 1109.

422. Plaintiff received a valid assignment of all rights and benefits held by the United

Insured pursuant to ERISA plans administered by United as set forth herein. Such assignments confer on Plaintiff all of the United Insured's rights and benefits with respect to out-of-network treatments provided by Plaintiff.

423. The execution of such assignments confers upon Plaintiff the status of beneficiary under ERISA § 502(a).

424. United acted as a fiduciary to the beneficiaries – including the United Insured and Plaintiff – of the plans it administered, including the plans of United Insureds that received treatment from Plaintiff.

425. Specifically, with respect to such Plans, United acted as a fiduciary to beneficiaries (including Plaintiff) because United exercised discretion in determining the amounts of Plan benefits that would be paid to Plan beneficiaries. The exercise of discretion with regard to determination of plan benefits is an inherently fiduciary function and confers the imposition of the duties of loyalty and care.

426. The United Insured, and Plaintiff by way of assignment of the rights of the United Insured, may sue in a representative capacity on behalf of the individual United Plan at issue in this Complaint for relief with respect to breaches of fiduciary duties by United.

427. As a fiduciary of plans governed by ERISA, United owes the beneficiaries of such plans (including Plaintiff) a duty of care, defined as an obligation to act prudently, with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence that a prudent administrator would use in the conduct of an enterprise of like character.

428. Additionally, as set forth in § 404(a)(1)(B) and (D) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1)(B) and (D), ERISA fiduciaries must ensure that they are acting in accordance with the documents and instruments governing the plan.

429. United violated the fiduciary duty of care it owed to Plaintiff as beneficiary of the United Plan by its conduct set forth above, such as, making adverse benefit determinations with regard to payment or denial of Plan benefits to Plaintiff contrary to and based upon reasons outside of the United Plans, ERISA, and regulations promulgated thereunder, including, upon information and belief, United's own financial interest.

430. As a fiduciary of plans governed by ERISA, United owes the beneficiary of such plans (including Plaintiff) a duty of loyalty, defined as an obligation to make decisions in the interest of beneficiaries, and to avoid self-dealing or financial arrangements that benefit the fiduciary at the expense of the beneficiaries. For example, United is prohibited from making benefits determinations for the purpose of enhancing its own profitability at the expense of its beneficiaries. § 406 of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1106.

431. United violated the fiduciary duty of loyalty it owed to Plaintiff as beneficiary of United Plans by its conduct set forth above, such as making adverse benefit determinations with regard to payment or denial of Plan benefits to Plaintiff contrary to and based upon reasons outside of those permitted by the United Plans, ERISA, and regulations promulgated thereunder, including, upon information and belief, United's own financial interest.

432. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies with respect to the Claims at issue through completion of the United internal appeals process, to the extent necessary.

433. Moreover, all appeals should be deemed exhausted by virtue of United's numerous procedural and substantive violations described herein, which deprived Plaintiff of meaningful access to administrative remedies.

434. Further, the routine failed appeals, United's procedural flaws in deciding appeals, and lack of meaningful analysis in deciding the appeals, show the futility of exhausting appeals to

United. Exhaustion of appeals under ERISA should, therefore, be deemed to be futile.

435. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to restitution and injunctive and declaratory relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(2) and 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), based upon United's violation of its fiduciary duties. Additionally, United should be ordered to disgorge the profits or fees it has earned by denying and/or delaying payment of Plaintiff's Claims through conduct which violated its fiduciary duties under ERISA.

436. Further, Plaintiff is entitled to be made whole in the form of monetary compensation for the losses it incurred, and which continue to result from United's breaches of its fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiff, including interest back to the dates that the claims were originally submitted to United.

COUNT III

PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE PLAN DOCUMENTS

437. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein.

438. Count III is brought under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(1)(A) and 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1).

439. As an administrator of the United Plans, United is obligated under ERISA to provide controlling plan documentation upon request of plan participants, beneficiaries, and their assignees. Specifically, ERISA provides that:

The administrator shall, upon written request of any participant or beneficiary, furnish a copy of the latest updated summary, plan description, and the latest annual report, any terminal report, the bargaining agreement, trust agreement, contract, **or other instruments under which the plan is established or operated.**

29 U.S.C. § 1024(b)(4) (emphasis added)

440. Further, ERISA regulations governing full and fair review of adverse benefit determinations require that "a claimant shall be provided, upon request and free of charge,

reasonable access to, and copies of, all documents, records, and other information relevant to the claimant's claim for benefits." 29 C.F.R. § 2560.503-1(h)(2)(iii).

441. ERISA imposes upon an administrator a monetary penalty of up to \$110 per day for each instance of non-compliance with a request for plan information, which is payable to Plaintiff as beneficiary. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(c)(1); 29 C.F.R. §2575.502c-1.

442. On July 10, 2020, Plaintiff requested that United provide as to each Claim: (1) the plan document; (2) the Summary Plan Description; (3) the Evidence of Coverage; (4) any amendments to the above; (5) any agreements or instruments under which the plan is established or operated; and (6) other relevant documentation. To date, Plaintiff has not received the requested documentation from Defendants.

443. As a result of its failure to provide Plaintiff with the requested documentation, United is in violation of ERISA.

COUNT IV

ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS UNDER ERISA

444. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

445. 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1) authorizes an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of an ERISA action.

446. As a result of the above-described conduct by United, Plaintiff was required to retain the services of counsel and necessarily incurred legal fees and costs in prosecuting this action.

447. Plaintiff anticipates incurring additional legal fees and costs in association with this action.

448. Plaintiff therefore requests an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against

United in an amount that will be calculated at the conclusion of this action.

COUNT V

BREACH OF CONTRACT

449. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

450. To the extent that Claims relating to benefits or payments owed by United are not associated with an ERISA-governed United Plan and/or are not preempted by ERISA, Plaintiff is entitled, as the assignee of rights and benefits held by United Insureds under their insurance contracts or plans with United, to maintain a claim for breach of contract pursuant to New Jersey law.

451. Through its course of dealings with Plaintiff as set forth above, United waived and/or is estopped from asserting any right to enforce any anti-assignment provisions which may exist in the United Plans at issue.

452. The United Insured and/or his employer entered into insurance contracts with United to procure coverage through United's Plans, and assigned the rights and benefits under those contracts to Plaintiff.

453. United received good and valuable consideration from the Insured and/or his employer in exchange for providing certain insurance benefits under the United Plans, including the out-of-network benefits.

454. In violation of those agreements, United failed to pay Plaintiff (as the assignee of such benefits and/or third-party beneficiary of the United Insured's contractual rights) all benefits owed to the United Insured.

455. Plaintiff provided medically necessary and appropriate treatment to the United Insured and submitted appropriate bills directly to United for said services in accordance with the

terms of the United Plans and New Jersey law.

456. Plaintiff has complied with all terms of United Insured's Plans, the benefits of which have been lawfully assigned to Plaintiff, including the right to assert legal claims to enforce rights thereunder.

457. As a proximate result of United's material breaches of contract and non-payment for services duly rendered, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

COUNT VI

BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

458. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

459. To the extent that the Claims relating to benefits or payments owed by United are not associated with an ERISA-governed United Plan and/or are not preempted by ERISA, Plaintiff is entitled, as the assignee of rights and benefits held by the United Insured, to maintain a claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing pursuant to New Jersey law.

460. Through its course of dealings with Plaintiff as set forth above, United waived and/or is estopped from asserting any right to enforce any anti-assignment provisions which may exist in the United Plans at issue.

461. A covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied in all contracts between United and its Insureds under New Jersey law.

462. United had no legitimate, good faith basis for engaging in improper conduct set forth above in connection with its contracts with the insured. United's conduct breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing owing to its insureds, and to Plaintiff as their assignee and beneficiary. Plaintiff has standing to assert claims for breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing in its capacity as assignee of the United Insured's rights under the contracts and/or as

third-party beneficiary.

463. United breached the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing under the contract with the United Insured, and deprived Plaintiff of the benefits of the United Plans here at issue, including payment for services duly rendered.

464. As a proximate result of United's material breach of the implied covenant and duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

COUNT VII

PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

465. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

466. To the extent that the Claims relating to benefits or payments owed by United are not associated with an ERISA-governed United Plan and/or are not preempted by ERISA, Plaintiff are entitled, as the assignee of rights and benefits held by the United Insured under their insurance contracts or plans with United, to maintain a promissory estoppel claim against United under New Jersey law.

467. Through its course of dealings with Plaintiff as set forth above, United waived and/or is estopped from asserting any right to enforce any anti-assignment provisions which may exist in the United Plans at issue.

468. Plaintiff, to its detriment, reasonably relied upon United's numerous assurances and promises that it would process claims and issue benefits in accordance with the terms of the United Plans through which the United Insureds receive benefits.

469. Through their course of dealings, and under New Jersey law, Plaintiff expected United to process claims and issue benefits in accordance with the terms of the United Plans through which the United Insured received benefits.

470. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of its reasonable reliance upon United's numerous assurances and promises that it would process claims and issue benefits and make payment to Plaintiff as assignee of the United Insured, in accordance with the terms of United Plans through which the United Insured receive benefits, and United's failure to fulfill such assurances and promises.

COUNT VIII

UNJUST ENRICHMENT

471. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

472. To the extent that the Claims relating to benefits or payments owed by United are not associated with an ERISA-governed United Plan and/or are not deemed preempted by ERISA, Plaintiff is entitled, as the assignee of rights and benefits held by the United Insured, to maintain an unjust enrichment claim against United under New Jersey law, for payment owing for services rendered to the United Insured.

473. Through its course of dealings with Plaintiff as set forth above, United waived and/or is estopped from asserting any right to enforce any anti-assignment provisions which may exist in the United Plans at issue.

474. By and through its failure to process claims and issue benefits for services rendered by Plaintiff in accordance with the United Plans through which the United Insured received benefits, United has retained moneys to which it is not entitled and to which Plaintiff is entitled for services rendered to the United Insured.

475. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of United's actions.

COUNT IX

QUANTUM MERUIT

476. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as if fully set forth herein in their entirety.

477. Plaintiff provided services and other things of value to United and United Insured.

478. United has not paid for such services and things of value.

479. Plaintiff, therefore, is entitled to payment from United for the reasonable value of the services rendered in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the United Insureds demand judgment in its favor against United as follows:

- (A) Declaring that United has breached the terms of its Plans with regard to the out-of-network benefits in the Plans, and awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff and the United Insureds for unpaid benefits, as well as awarding declaratory relief with respect to United's violations of ERISA, including a declaration that United's claim processing methodology with respect to claims assigned to Plaintiff violates ERISA;
- (B) Declaring that United has breached its fiduciary obligations owed to Plaintiff and the United Insureds under ERISA and awarding compensatory damages resulting therefrom;
- (C) Declaring that United has failed to provide "full and fair review" of claims denials or reductions to Plaintiff and the United Insureds as required under ERISA and its implementing regulations, and awarding compensatory damages and declaratory relief with respect to United's violations of ERISA;
- (D) Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment interest back to the dates its claims were originally submitted to United;
- (E) Declaring that United has violated federal claims procedures under ERISA and that "deemed exhaustion" under the ERISA regulations is in effect as a result of United's actions;
- (F) Enjoining United from continuing to commit any violation of law;
- (G) Ordering United to disgorge the profits or fees it has earned by denying and/or delaying payment of Plaintiff's Claims through conduct in violation of ERISA;

- (H) Awarding Plaintiff compensatory damages on all claims in an amount to be proven at trial;
- (I) Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment interest on all claims;
- (J) Awarding Plaintiff punitive and exemplary damages against United in an amount to be proven at trial;
- (K) Awarding Plaintiff the costs and disbursements of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and other costs permitted by law, including but not limited to 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(1), to be paid by United in amounts to be determined by the Court; and
- (L) Granting such other relief against all Defendants as the Court deems just and proper.

BRACH EICHLER L.L.C.

By: /s/ Keith J. Roberts
Keith J. Roberts
Shannon Carroll
Paul M. Bishop
Email: kroberts@bracheichler.com
101 Eisenhower Parkway
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973.228.5700
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: September 7, 2021

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all Counts so triable.

BRACH EICHLER L.L.C.

By: /s/ Keith J. Roberts
Keith J. Roberts
Shannon Carroll
Paul M. Bishop
Email: kroberts@bracheichler.com
101 Eisenhower Parkway
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973.228.5700
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: September 7, 2021

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 11.2

I hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any other Court or of a pending arbitration proceeding to the best of my knowledge and belief.

BRACH EICHLER L.L.C.

By: /s/ Keith J. Roberts
Keith J. Roberts
Shannon Carroll
Paul M. Bishop
Email: kroberts@bracheichler.com
101 Eisenhower Parkway
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973.228.5700
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: September 7, 2021

	Provider	Patient	Date of Service	Amount Billed	Amount Paid	Amount Owed
1	Beth Israel Medical Center	H.M.	8/23/2014	\$24,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$9,000.00
2	Hoboken University Medical Center	M.C.	9/29/2014	\$47,500.00	\$1,765.04	\$45,734.96
3	Patient Care Associates, LLC	S.B.	10/22/2014	\$229,000.00	\$21,359.95	\$207,640.05
4	Patient Care Associates, LLC	R.G.	12/23/2014	\$41,000.00	\$10,895.70	\$30,104.30
5	Bayonne Medical Center	R.D.	12/27/2014	\$36,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$18,000.00
	Manhattan Surgery Center		4/25/2017	\$41,000.00	\$13,460.20	\$27,539.80
6	Hoboken University Medical Center	C.C.	1/19/2015	\$83,000.00	\$38,838.25	\$44,161.75
7	Palisade Medical Center	H.M.	4/30/2015	\$21,000.00	\$289.50	\$20,710.50
8	Patient Care Associates, LLC	E.F.	5/7/2015	\$112,000.00	\$22,797.47	\$89,202.53
9	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	K.J.	5/11/2015	\$121,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$91,000.00
10	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	A.A.	6/3/2015	\$56,500.00	\$14,722.25	\$41,777.75
11	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	J.B.	6/17/2015	\$177,000.00	\$28,945.21	\$148,054.79
12	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	E.B.	7/8/2015	\$188,000.00	\$0.00	\$188,000.00
13	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	R.C.	7/23/2015	\$71,000.00	\$12,389.00	\$58,611.00
14	Patient Care Associates, LLC	B.N.	7/27/2015	\$199,000.00	\$34,960.87	\$164,039.13
15	Patient Care Associates, LLC	J.G.	8/12/2015	\$41,000.00	\$3,435.95	\$37,564.05
16	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	S.B.	8/14/2015	\$108,500.00	\$6,047.60	\$102,452.40
17	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	M.P.	10/29/2015	\$99,000.00	\$8,456.00	\$90,544.00
18	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	S.S.	10/29/2015	\$67,500.00	\$7,674.14	\$59,825.83
19	Patient Care Associates, LLC	H.B.	12/2/2015	\$16,500.00	\$382.58	\$16,117.42
20	St. Barnabas Medical Center	L.P.	12/11/2015	\$68,500.00	\$3,239.50	\$65,260.50
21	St. Barnabas Medical Center	J.B.	2/3/2016	\$100,000.00	\$32,768.41	\$67,231.59
22	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	T.B.	3/10/2016	\$102,500.00	\$17,235.00	\$85,265.00
23	St. Barnabas Medical Center	T.D.	3/11/2016	\$180,000.00	\$24,119.71	\$155,880.29
	St. Barnabas Medical Center		5/12/2017	\$180,000.00	\$36,702.72	\$143,297.28
24	Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care	M.W.	4/22/2016	\$141,000.00	\$18,674.00	\$122,326.00
25	Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care	C.R.	5/17/2016	\$89,000.00	\$21,381.38	\$67,618.62
26	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	D.M.	5/19/2016	\$141,000.00	\$25,400.00	\$115,600.00
27	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	N.V.	6/24/2016	\$40,000.00	\$312.15	\$39,687.85
28	Pleasantdale Ambulatory Care	J.M.	7/8/2016	\$125,313.90	\$25,065.60	\$100,248.30
29	Liberty Ambulatory Surgery Center	A.B.	7/12/2016	\$40,000.00	\$3,552.27	\$36,447.73
30	Manhattan Surgery Center	K.R.	7/26/2016	\$44,000.00	\$0.00	\$44,000.00

31	St. Barnabas Medical Center	D.S.	8/19/2016	\$133,000.00	\$24,596.40	\$108,403.60
	Hackensack University Medical Center		11/18/2016	\$192,000.00	\$17,591.50	\$174,408.50
32	Hackensack University Medical Center	I.K.	9/26/2016	\$101,772.38	\$37,610.43	\$64,161.95
			TOTAL	\$3,457,586.28	\$577,668.78	\$2,879,917.47